



The pelagic landing obligation: Experiences and the way forward

lan GattChairman Pelagic AC

General experiences

No massive problems encountered to date

However...

....unclear regulations & uncertainties are not a fisherman's friend

Unclear regulations

- Point 11 of the Omnibus?
- How to deal with bycatch of 0 TAC species?
- How to discard e.g. forbidden species with closed discard chutes?
 - => Violation of labour conditions
- What to do with meshed fish?

Uncertainties

- Sudden hake bycatch in western waters
- ABP provisions and undersized fish
- Minimum size mackerel 30 cm in the North Sea and 20 cm in Western Waters
- Bycatch of RSW vessels can only be detected in processing factories

Solutions? Under construction!

- Innovation and technical measures:
 - New pelagic grid in Sweden
 - > Pelacoustics project in the Netherlands
 - SMAC project in Scotland
 - Closed areas and season in boarfish mp
 - >etc...

The way forward

- Legal clarity & level playing field:
 - > Resolve ambiguity
 - ➤ Provide easy to understand guides in all relevant languages, including Norwegian and Faroese
 - > Equal treatment



The way forward

- Stakeholders consultation:
 - Slow progress in MS regional groups
 - ➤ But some very valuable ideas, e.g. gramme sizes
 - >Closer cooperation in the future
 - > Better compliance
 - ➤ Invite ACs to inter-regional workshops between EFCA and MS

Take home message

The ACs are a cornucopia of knowledge and experience. Member States could and should make much better use of this resource.

Thank you!

Questions?